

Lina Erlina

The Impact of Using the Le-Diabet Application on Self-Efficacy and Blood Glucose Levels in Diabetes Patients

 HLRS Turnitin

 Artikel Lina

 Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes Bandung

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::1:3028063902

Submission Date

Oct 2, 2024, 3:27 PM GMT+7

Download Date

Oct 5, 2024, 2:48 AM GMT+7

File Name

Revisi_Manuscript_11984_ED_turnitin2.docx

File Size

57.5 KB

19 Pages

3,869 Words

23,213 Characters

15% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.





Filtered from the Report

- ▶ Bibliography
- ▶ Quoted Text




Exclusions

- ▶ 11 Excluded Matches

Match Groups

-  **57 Not Cited or Quoted 15%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **0 Missing Quotations 0%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 14%  Internet sources
- 8%  Publications
- 0%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Integrity Flags

0 Integrity Flags for Review

No suspicious text manipulations found.

Our system's algorithms look deeply at a document for any inconsistencies that would set it apart from a normal submission. If we notice something strange, we flag it for you to review.

A Flag is not necessarily an indicator of a problem. However, we'd recommend you focus your attention there for further review.

Match Groups

- **57 Not Cited or Quoted 15%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- **0 Missing Quotations 0%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 14% Internet sources
- 8% Publications
- 0% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Internet	www.pagepressjournals.org	2%
2	Internet	repository.poltekkes-manado.ac.id	2%
3	Internet	doaj.org	2%
4	Internet	pagepressjournals.org	2%
5	Internet	caelum.ucv.ve	1%
6	Internet	cdn.amegroups.cn	1%
7	Internet	ejournal.insuriponorogo.ac.id	1%
8	Publication	Nitta Isdiany, Mamat Rahmat, Gurid Pramintarto Eko Mulyo, Judiono. "Two Eggs ...	0%
9	Internet	bajangjournal.com	0%
10	Internet	mdpi-res.com	0%

11	Internet	discovery.researcher.life	0%
12	Internet	www.frontiersin.org	0%
13	Publication	Edouard Battagay, George L. Bakris, Gregory Y.H. Lip. "Hypertension - Principles a...	0%
14	Publication	Ida Farida, Meirina, Mia Fatma Ekasari. "The Influence of Autogenic Relaxation in...	0%
15	Internet	repo.stikmuhptk.ac.id	0%
16	Publication	Dewi Prabawati, Josephine Lorica. "Lifestyle Modification Program for Cardiovasc...	0%
17	Internet	bmcendocrdisord.biomedcentral.com	0%
18	Internet	ejournal.unisba.ac.id	0%
19	Internet	mail.openaccesspub.org	0%
20	Internet	rcastoragev2.blob.core.windows.net	0%

24 **Contributions:**

25 LE Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Validation, Visualization,
26 Writing – Original Draft, Review & Editing; WH Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, and
27 Writing –Review & Editing

28

29 **Conflict of interest:**

30 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

31

32 **Ethics approval and consent to participate:**

33 The research has received ethical approval from the Health Research Ethics Commission,
34 Politeknik Kesehatan Kemenkes Bandung, Indonesia with ethics approval number No.

35 44/KEPK/EC/IV/2023 During the research, the researcher pays attention to the ethical principles
36 of information to consent, respect for human rights, beneficence and non-maleficence.

37

38 **Patient consent for publication:**

39 Written informed consent was obtained for anonymized patient information to be published in
40 this article.

41 **Funding:**

42 This research did not receive external funding.

43 **Availability of data and materials:**

44 All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

45 **Acknowledgement:**

46 None

47

ABSTRACT

48 Le-Diabet is an Android mobile application developed for diabetes management, and its
49 effectiveness remains unknown. This research investigates its impact on self-efficacy and blood
50 glucose levels in diabetes patients. Using a quasi-experimental approach, the study employed a
51 pretest and posttest control group design. The sample involved 28 respondents in the control group
52 and 34 respondents in the intervention group, selected through purposive sampling based on
53 criteria such as diagnosed diabetes, smartphone usage, and six weeks of Le-Diabet application use.
54 Self-efficacy was measured using the Diabetes Management Self-Efficacy Scale (DMSES), while
55 blood glucose levels were monitored with a glucometer. Data analysis involved paired and
56 unpaired T-tests. The results revealed a significant increase in self-efficacy scores by 3.1 points
57 ($P=0.000$, 95% CI= -6.006 - -1.876) in the intervention group, whereas the control group
58 experienced a decrease of 1.9 points. Both groups exhibited an increase in blood glucose levels,
59 with a significant rise of 35.6 mg/dL ($P=0.035$, 95% CI= -68.578 - -2.636) in the control group
60 and a non-significant increase of 3.59 mg/dL ($P=0.076$, 95% CI= -22.759 - 15.582). in the
61 intervention group. The research concludes that the use of the Le-Diabet application enhances self-
62 efficacy and maintains blood glucose stability, but it has not shown an impact on metabolic
63 syndrome indicators in diabetic patients. Further research is needed, utilizing a larger and more
64 diverse sample from various age groups, and extending the duration of the study to evaluate the
65 long-term impact of the applied intervention.

66

67 **Keywords:** blood glucose, le-diabet, self-efficacy

68

69

70

INTRODUCTION

71 The prevalence of diabetes mellitus in Indonesia is estimated to continue to increase both at the
72 national and global levels. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that by 2030 the
73 number of diabetes sufferers in Indonesia will increase threefold since 2000. In 2000 there were
74 around 8.4 million diabetes sufferers, while in 2030 the estimate will reach 21.3 million people ¹.
75 This prediction shows a significant upward trend. The results of Basic Health Research in 2018
76 show that the prevalence of diabetes in Indonesia reached 8.5% ^{2 3}. This shows that more than 8%
77 of Indonesia's population suffers from diabetes. This data highlights the importance of efforts to
78 prevent and manage diabetes in Indonesia.

79 The Indonesian Endocrinology Association states that there are five pillars of diabetes
80 management, namely education, diet, physical activity, medication, and blood sugar monitoring¹.
81 The five pillars of diabetes management cannot be separated because they are continuous with one
82 another. Of the five pillars, education is the key to the success of the other four pillars. Insufficient
83 education will result in a lack of knowledge, thereby triggering a high prevalence of diabetes and
84 high complications due to diabetes itself ⁴.

85 Diabetes is a chronic disease that needs to be controlled throughout life, so the patient's role in
86 managing the disease is very important. The patient's ability to control and reduce the impact of
87 the disease they suffer from affects the process and results of diabetes management ⁵. This ability
88 is known as diabetes self-care management which can help patients control blood sugar thereby
89 reducing the risk of complications ⁶. Self-care management can prevent morbidity and even death
90 and long-term complications ⁷.

91 Many ways can be done to provide education to patients and families regarding diabetes and self-
92 care management, namely by utilizing digital information technology which is currently

93 continuing to develop ⁸. There are 90.54% of households in Indonesia who use cellular telephones
94 ⁹. This allows cellular phones, which are now better known as smartphones, to be used as an
95 educational medium for diabetes sufferers ¹⁰. There are several smartphone software that can be
96 used as a health education medium, such as websites and mobile applications.

97 Several studies on mobile applications have been carried out. The research results stated that the
98 "e-diary" mobile application was effective for use as an educational medium in increasing diabetes
99 sufferers' diet compliance. The results of the study showed that there was an increase in the average
100 diet compliance in diabetes patients by 0.80. The results of the Wilcoxon Rank Test analysis

101 obtained a P-value of 0.006. This shows that implementing the mobile e-diary application is
102 meaningful and significantly effective in increasing dietary compliance in diabetes mellitus

103 patients ¹¹. This is in line with research results which state that education based on the mobile
104 application "Teman Diabetes" has been proven to be effective and has a clinically significant
105 positive effect on the knowledge and attitudes of diabetes sufferers ¹².

106 The Le-Diabet application, as the latest Android-based innovation developed by researchers,
107 stands out as distinctive software with its unique features. Integrated with a comprehensive
108 diabetes management concept, Le-Diabet comprises five main pillars: education, dietary patterns,
109 physical activity, self-blood glucose monitoring, and diabetes therapy. The education provided by

110 Le-Diabet includes up-to-date information on diabetes and its management, directly linked to the
111 website of the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia. Designed with attractive and user-
112 friendly features, the application facilitates ease of operation for patients. Users can input their
113 current data, and Le-Diabet provides relevant recommendations, covering aspects such as dietary
114 needs with sample menus, physical activity, healthcare management, and required therapies ¹³.

115 Le-Diabet also offers statistical features to monitor trends in examination results, including blood
116 glucose levels, HbA1C, blood pressure, cholesterol, and other examinations. With its alarm
117 features, Le-Diabet assists users in remembering medication, appointment times, and other
118 necessary tasks. With Le-Diabet, users can independently monitor their conditions, receive
119 recommendations tailored to their health status, and acquire in-depth knowledge about diabetes ¹³.
120 Education through Le-Diabet is expected to enhance the self-efficacy of patients, aiding in
121 achieving optimal glucose control. However, the effectiveness of implementing Le-Diabet in
122 diabetes patients still requires further investigation. Therefore, this research aims to evaluate the
123 extent to which Le-Diabet contributes to the improvement of self-efficacy and the management of
124 blood glucose levels in diabetes patients.

125

126 **METHODS**

127 **Research design**

128 This study employs a quasi-experimental method with a pretest and posttest control group design
129 approach. In the pretest phase, before the intervention is implemented, both groups, namely the
130 intervention group and the control group, undergo measurements of relevant variables to assess
131 their initial conditions. Subsequently, the intervention group receives the intervention, while the
132 control group does not undergo any intervention. The posttest phase is conducted on both groups
133 after the intervention is completed to evaluate the impact of changes that may occur due to the
134 intervention.

135

136 **Study Participants**

2 137 The total sample was 62 respondents, namely the control group 28 patients, and the intervention
5 138 group 34 diabetes patients. Sampling was taken using a purposive sampling technique with
139 inclusion criteria: patients with a medical diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, owning and using a
140 smartphone either alone or with their family, and willing to use the Le-Diabet application for 6
141 weeks.

142

143 Variable, Instrument and Data Collection

9 144 The measured variables involve self-efficacy and blood glucose levels before and after
145 intervention in both the control and intervention groups. Additionally, other variables serving as
146 indicators of metabolic syndrome are also measured, including systolic and diastolic blood
147 pressure, blood cholesterol, uric acid, and respondent's body weight. All measurements are taken
148 twice, both before and after the 6-week intervention period, in both the control and intervention
149 groups.

20 150 Self-efficacy is assessed using the Indonesian version of the Diabetes Management Self-Efficacy
151 Scale, comprising 20 items. The instrument employs a 4-point Likert scale: very incapable = 1,
152 incapable = 2, capable = 3, and very capable = 4. Self-efficacy scores range from 20 to 60. The
1 153 instrument's validity was tested on 30 respondents, yielding a Cronbach's alpha value of 0.939
154 (95% CI)¹⁴.

155 Blood glucose and metabolic syndrome indicator measurements are conducted using peripheral
156 blood samples after patients have fasted for a minimum of 10 hours and only consumed water
157 before the examination. The examination tools used have consistent brands and types for all
158 respondents, and the results are presented in mg/dL. Blood pressure is measured using an electric
159 sphygmomanometer in mmHg, while respondents' body weight is measured using an electric scale

160 in kilogram (Kg). All instruments have undergone a calibration process, including instrument
161 calibration, results calibration, and battery calibration, performed at the Laboratory of Health
162 Polytechnic of the Ministry of Health in Bandung, Indonesia.

163

164 **Data Analysis**

165 Univariate analysis was used to analyze the average and percentage of patient age, gender,
166 occupation, and patient nutritional status, which were presented in the frequency distribution table.

167 Bivariate analysis was used to determine the effect of the intervention and the differences between
168 the control and intervention groups. Before carrying out bivariate analysis, a data normality test
169 was carried out, which resulted in normally distributed data. On this basis, the analysis was carried
170 out using the paired and unpaired T-Test.

171

172 **Ethical Clearance**

173 This research has received approval from the Health Research Ethics Committee of Politeknik
174 Kesehatan Kemenkes Bandung, Indonesia, with approval number No. 44/KEPK/EC/IV/2023.
175 During the research, the researcher pays attention to the ethical principles of information to
176 consent, respect for human rights, beneficence and non-maleficence.

177

178

RESULTS

179 The characteristics of respondents based on age, gender, occupation, and nutritional status were
180 presented in Table 1. The results from Table 1 indicated that the majority of respondents in both
181 groups were in the late elderly age category. Most respondents were female, the majority of whom

182 were not employed, and their nutritional status predominantly fell into the overweight and obese
 183 categories in both groups.

15 184

Table 1. Characteristics of Respondents

Variables	Control Group		Intervention Group	
	n = 28	%	n = 34	%
Age				
- Early old age	6	16.6	9	24.3
- Late old age	22	59.5	25	67.6
Gender				
- Man	5	13.5	8	21.6
- Woman	23	62.2	26	70.3
Work				
- Work	3	10.7	9	24.3
- Doesn't work	25	89.3	25	67.6
Nutritional status				
- Normal	12	42.8	16	47
- Over weight and obese	16	57.1	18	53

185

3 186

The effectiveness of the Le-Diabet application was measured against the respondents' self-efficacy
 187 variables and blood glucose levels. Metabolic syndrome indicators were also measured in this
 188 study, such as blood pressure, blood cholesterol, uric acid, and the respondent's body weight, which
 189 were also analyzed considering that these factors are closely related to changes in the respondent's
 190 blood glucose. All measurements were carried out twice with an interval of 6 weeks in both the

191 control and intervention groups. The average measurement results pre and post- intervention in the
 192 two groups can be seen in Table 2.
 193 Table 2 indicated that at pre-intervention, it was observed that among the 7 variables investigated,
 194 only 2 variables exhibited a significant mean difference between the two groups: systolic blood
 195 pressure (P=0.015, 95% CI=3.030-27.129) and diastolic blood pressure (P=0.048, 95% CI=0.067-
 196 13.685), whereas the remaining variables: self-efficacy, blood glucose, total cholesterol,
 197 respondents' uric acid, and body weight showed no significant mean difference between the two
 198 groups. However, this pattern changed in the post-intervention data. Post-intervention data
 199 revealed alterations in the mean values of all variables in both groups. Nevertheless, only 3
 200 variables demonstrated significant differences between the two groups: self-efficacy (P=0.000,
 201 95% CI= -8.179 - -2.799), blood glucose (P=0.001, 95% CI=23.785-90.497), and systolic blood
 202 pressure (P=0.028, 95% CI=0.919-15.509), while the other variables showed no significant mean
 203 differences (P > 0.05).

204

205 Table 2. Description of the Mean Self-Efficacy, Blood Glucose, Blood Pressure, Cholesterol,
 206 Uric Acid, and Body Weight of Respondents in the Control and Intervention Groups Pre and

207

Post-Intervention

Variabel	Intervention	Control	Intervention	P value	95% CI	
		Group	Group		Lower	Upper
Self-efficacy	Pre	45.286	44.941	0.871	-3.902	4.591
	Post	43.393	48.882	0.000*	-8.179	-2.799
Blood Glucose	Pre	157.857	142.647	0.194	-7.999	38.420
	Post	193.464	136.324	0.001*	23.785	90.497
Systolic blood pressure	Pre	151.786	136.706	0.015*	3.030	27.129
	Post	138.714	130,500	0.028*	0.919	15.509

Diastolic blood pressure	Pre	92.464	85.588	0.048*	0.067	13.685
	Post	83.714	82.353	0.566	-3.352	6.074
Total cholesterol	Pre	213.714	201.882	0.228	-7.599	31.263
	Post	222.250	208.147	0.091	-2.325	30.531
Gout	Pre	5.879	6.359	0.309	-1.417	0.456
	Post	5.689	5.927	0.484	-0.911	0.436
Weight	Pre	59.346	61.566	0.471	-8.344	3.904
	Post	58.705	61.146	0.435	-8.651	3.770

208 *Significant

209

210 The effectiveness of using the Le-Diabet application on diabetes management indicators, namely
 211 self-efficacy, blood glucose, blood pressure, cholesterol, uric acid, and respondents' body weight

212 can be seen in Table 3.

213 Table 3 depicted the research findings, recording the average changes before and after the
 214 intervention in both groups for all variables. In the control group, there was a decrease in the

215 average self-efficacy by 1.9 post-intervention. Meanwhile, the intervention group exhibited a
 216 significant increase in the average self-efficacy by 3.1 after the intervention compared to before
 217 (P=0.000, 95% CI= -6.006 - -1.876).

218 The average blood glucose increased in both groups after the intervention. However, the increase
 219 in average blood glucose in the control group was significantly higher than in the intervention

220 group. The control group experienced a significant increase in blood glucose by 35.6 mg/dL
 221 (P=0.035, 95% CI= -68.578 - -2.636). Meanwhile, the intervention group showed a stable increase

222 in the average blood glucose, only by 3.59 mg/dL, and the statistical test indicated a non-significant
 223 increase (P=0.076, 95% CI= -22.759 - 15.582).

6 224 Table 3 also indicated changes in indicators of metabolic syndrome, such as **systolic and diastolic**
 225 **blood pressure**, which **decreased in both groups** after the intervention. Other variables, like
 13 226 cholesterol, uric acid, **and body weight**, showed **changes in** averages **in** both groups, but these
 8 227 changes were **not significant (P > 0.05)**.

228 **Table 3.** Effects of Using the Le-Diabet Application

Variable		Mean	Standard deviation	P-value	95% CI		N
					Lower	Upper	
Self Efficacy							
Control Group	Pre	45.286	9.610	0.220	-1.203	4.988	28
	Post	43.393	4.954				
Intervention Group	Pre	44.941	6.237	0.000*	-6.006	-1.876	34
	Post	48.882	5.515				
Blood Glucose							
Control Group	Pre	157.857	52.175	0.035*	-68.578	-2.636	28
	Post	193.464	81.518				
Intervention Group	Pre	142.647	34.811	0.706	-22.759	15.582	34
	Post	146.235	54.756				
Systolic blood pressure							
Control Group	Pre	151.786	23.776	0.008*	3.783	22.359	28
	Post	138.714	16.608				
Intervention Group	Pre	136.706	23.464	0.142	-2.191	14.603	34
	Post	130,500	12.066				

Diastolic blood

pressure

Control Group	Pre	92.464	14.393	0.002*	3.474	14.026	28
	Post	83.714	10.359				
Intervention Group	Pre	85.588	12.409	0.100	-0.656	7.126	34
	Post	82.353	8.198				

Total cholesterol

Control Group	Pre	213.714	37.507	0.328	-26.132	9.060	28
	Post	222.250	33.861				
Intervention Group	Pre	201.882	38.514	0.247	-17.082	4.553	34
	Post	208.147	30.741				

Gout

Control Group	Pre	5.879	1.864	0.504	-0.385	0.763	28
	Post	5.689	1.409				
Intervention Group	Pre	6.359	1.809	.133	-0.138	1.003	34
	Post	5.927	1.242				

Weight

Control Group	Pre	59.346	10.307	0.174	-0.302	1.584	28
	Post	58.705	11.095				
Intervention Group	Pre	61.566	13.220	0.587	-1.138	1.979	34
	Post	61.146	12.978				

229 *Significant

230

231 **DISCUSSION**

232 Self-care management by utilizing digital information technology is currently continuing to
233 develop. Technological advances support the acceleration of increasing knowledge and
234 disseminating information, especially regarding diabetes mellitus. The use of cellular telephones,
235 which nowadays has become a necessity in daily activities, can be used as an educational medium
236 for diabetes patients ¹¹.

10 237 The results of the study showed that there was a significant increase in mean self-efficacy of 3.1

3 238 in the intervention group, whereas, in the control group, there was a decrease in self-efficacy. The

239 research results show that using the Le-Diabet application can significantly increase respondents'

2 240 self-efficacy ($P = 0.0005$). The results of this study are in line with Marbun et al., 2012 who states

241 that smartphone applications can influence self-efficacy in diabetes patients so that applications

242 can facilitate the process of self-management, and treatment adherence, and increase blood glucose

243 control in diabetes patients ¹⁴. Self-efficacy has a positive relationship with the self-care of diabetes

11 244 patients and self-care is needed to maximize diabetes self-management ¹⁵. Self-efficacy is a

245 person's belief in their ability to organize and carry out actions that support their health, which is

246 very necessary for diabetes patients to increase their independence in managing their disease. ¹⁶.

247 Blood glucose examination is the main indicator in diabetes management. Blood glucose levels

2 248 are important in monitoring the success of diabetes management. The results of the study showed

249 that in both groups the mean blood glucose of respondents was above normal both pre and post-

250 intervention. Post-intervention blood glucose showed results that did not match expectations,

3 251 where the mean blood glucose level increased in both groups. In the control group, there was a

252 significant mean increase of 35.6 mg/dL ($P = 0.0002$), and in the intervention group blood glucose

3 253 was relatively stable, there was a slight increase of 3.59 mg/dL but not significant ($P = 0.100$).

7 254 This shows that the Le-Diabet application can be used as a diabetes education medium to facilitate
255 independent diabetes management so that respondents' blood glucose control becomes better. The

2 256 research results are in line with other research which states that Android-based applications
257 increase knowledge about diabetes self-management so that they can help diabetes patients adhere
258 to their therapy so that glycemic control becomes better ¹⁷.

16 259 Other metabolic syndrome indicators, such as blood pressure, total blood cholesterol, uric acid,
260 and body weight, demonstrated non-significant changes. Effective diabetes control is not only
261 reflected in the stability of blood glucose levels but also in maintaining blood pressure, lipid
262 profile, and body weight within the normal range according to predefined targets ¹. Although the

3 263 research results indicate changes in intervention outcomes in both groups, these changes are not
264 statistically significant. This finding suggests that the use of the Le-Diabet application has not yet
265 yielded a significant impact in regulating metabolic syndrome indicators. Long-term research is
266 necessary to assess the intervention's impact on metabolic syndrome as a long-term outcome.

267 The respondents in this study were all elderly patients, most of them were women, almost all of
268 them did not work, and the nutritional status of most of them fell into the overweight and obese

13 269 categories. Apart from that, both respondents also had a mean of systolic and diastolic blood
270 pressure that was higher than normal, a high mean of cholesterol, and a relatively high mean of
271 uric acid. This data shows that respondents have high-risk factors, so efforts are needed to control
272 glycemic and metabolic control to avoid diabetes complications. Therefore, it is important to
273 increase knowledge and attitudes regarding diabetes, adopt a healthy lifestyle and balanced diet,
274 exercise regularly, and avoid smoking to reduce the development of diabetes ^{1,18}.

275

276 This study has several limitations. The limited sample size, along with a focus on the elderly in
277 sample selection, inhibits the generalization of results to a broader population. Confounding
278 variables such as lifestyle and adherence to medication need special attention to ensure more
279 accurate results. Additionally, the variability in the sample's ability to use the Le-Diabet is also a
280 crucial factor that needs to be considered. Time constraints in the study also serve as a limiting
281 factor in evaluating the long-term impact of application usage. Therefore, this study emphasizes
282 the importance of carefully addressing these factors to ensure more valid and applicable results.

283

284

CONCLUSION

285 The study concludes that the use of the Le-Diabet application in diabetic patients can improve self-
286 efficacy and maintain blood glucose stability. However, the intervention's effect on metabolic
287 syndrome indicators has not shown a significant impact.

288

289

RECOMMENDATION

290 Recommendations for future research include extending this study over a more extended period to
291 gain a deeper understanding of the intervention's impact on metabolic syndrome indicators.

292 Enhancing the quality of the research can be achieved by utilizing a larger and more diverse sample
293 across various age groups. The significance of considering factors such as patients' lifestyle and
294 medication adherence should be acknowledged and taken into account in the design of subsequent
295 research. Additionally, attention should be given to patients' proficiency in using the Le-Diabet
296 application as a factor that may influence intervention outcomes. Consequently, future research
297 endeavors can provide a more comprehensive and applicable insight into the long-term effects of
298 this intervention.

299

300 **REFERENCES**

- 301 1. Soebagijo Adi Sulistijo dkk. *Pedoman Pengelolaan Dan Pencegahan Diabetes Melitus*
302 *Tipe 2 Dewasa Di Indonesia 2015. (2015). PB PERKENI; 2021. www.ginasthma.org.*
- 303 2. Kemenkes RI. Laporan Nasional Riset Kesehatan Dasar. *Kementeri Kesehat RI. 2018:1-*
304 *582.*
- 305 3. National Institute for Health Research & Development. Riset Kesehatan Dasar (National
306 Health Survey). *Minist Heal Repub Indones. 2013;(1):1-303. doi:10.1007/s13398-014-*
307 *0173-7.2*
- 308 4. Hikmatul N, Harmiadillah S, Puspita T. *Lima Pilar Diabetes Mellitus. Makassar:*
309 *Rismedia Pustaka Indonesia; 2022.*
- 310 5. Putri DSR, Yudianto K, Kurniawan T. Perilaku Self-Management Pasien Diabetes Melitus
311 (DM) Self-Management Behaviour of Patient with Diabetes Mellitus (DM). *Fak*
312 *Keperawatan Univ Padjadjaran. 2013;1(April 2013):30.*
- 313 6. Sabil FA, Kadar KS, Sjattar EL. Faktor – Faktor Pendukung Self Care Management
314 Diabetes Mellitus Tipe 2: a Literature Review. *J Keperawatan. 2019;10(1):41-47.*
315 *doi:10.22219/jk.v10i1.6417*
- 316 7. Novianti D, Indriyawati N, Arif S. Efektivitas Diabetes Self Management Education &
317 Community Based Interactive Approach Terhadap Self Care Penderita Diabetes Mellitus.
318 *2019;3(1):1-10. https://ejournal.poltekkes-*
319 *smg.ac.id/ojs/index.php/jnj/article/view/4453/1275.*
- 320 8. Viandarisa N, Priyono D, Keperawatan Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Tanjungpura M,
321 Keperawatan Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Tanjungpura D, JIProf Hadari Nawawi PH.

- 322 Penggunaan Mobile Health Berbasis Smartphone Untuk Meningkatkan Self Management
323 Pada Pasien Diabetes Melitus Tipe 2: Literature Review. *J Untan*. 2022;7(1):1-18.
- 324 9. Badan Pusat Statistik. *Statistik Telekomunikasi Indonesia 2021*. Jakarta: Badan Pusat
325 Statistik; 2021.
- 326 10. Luawo HP, Sjattar EL, Bahar B, Yusuf S, Irwan AM. Aplikasi e-diary DM sebagai alat
327 monitoring manajemen selfcare pengelolaan diet pasien DM. *NURSCOPE J Penelit dan*
328 *Pemikir Ilm Keperawatan*. 2019;5(1):32. doi:10.30659/nurscope.5.1.32-38
- 329 11. Luawo HP, Sjattar EL, Bahar B, Yusuf S, Irwan AM. Aplikasi e-diary DM sebagai alat
330 monitoring manajemen selfcare pengelolaan diet pasien DM. 2019;(10):32-38. [https://jks-](https://jks-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jk_sriwijaya/article/view/92/93)
331 [fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jk_sriwijaya/article/view/92/93](https://jks-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jk_sriwijaya/article/view/92/93).
- 332 12. Ikawati Z, Akbar Z. The effectiveness of smartphone application-based education teman
333 diabetes on clinical outcomes of type-2 diabetes mellitus patients nidaul hasanah.
334 2020:71-72.
- 335 13. Erlina L. *Desain aplikasi le-diabet*. Bandung: Poltekkes Kemenkes Bandung; 2023.
- 336 14. Marbun AS, Siregar R, Harefa K, Yuni T, Sidabutar F. Pengaruh diabetes self
337 management education (dsme) berbasis aplikasi whatsapp terhadap self efficacy pada
338 pasien dm tipe 2 di puskesmas hamparan perak. 2021;4(2).
- 339 15. Sabil FA, Kadar KS, Sjattar EL. Faktor – faktor pendukung self care management diabetes
340 mellitus tipe 2 : A Literature Review Factors Supporting Self-Care Management On
341 Diabetes Mellitus Type 2 Patients : A Literature Review. 2019;10:48-57.
- 342 16. Marleni L, Mulkanaziman A. Hubungan efikasi diri dengan kejadian komplikasi diabetes
343 mellitus tipe 2. 2020;7:59-65. [https://jks-](https://jks-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jk_sriwijaya/article/view/92/93)
344 [fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jk_sriwijaya/article/view/92/93](https://jks-fk.ejournal.unsri.ac.id/index.php/jk_sriwijaya/article/view/92/93).

- 345 17. Oktovin, Unja, Ermeisi Er, Rachman A. Systematic review : penggunaan smartphone
346 untuk program management life style pasien diabetes melitus tipe 2. 2013.
347 <http://journal.stikessuakainsan.ac.id/index.php/jksi/article/view/102/70>.
- 348 18. Association American Diabetes. Guidelines Ada. *J Clin Appl Res Educ diabetes care*.
349 2022;45(January). www.diabetes.org/diabetescare.
- 350