Evaluation Form

| Title: | |
|------------------|--|
| Reviewer's Name: | |

| Mark (X) where appropriate | YES | NO |
|---|-----|----|
| Does the title accurately reflect the content? | | |
| Is the abstract sufficiently concise and informative? | | |
| Do the keywords provide adequate index entries for this paper? | Х | |
| Is the purpose of the paper clearly stated in the introduction? | X | |
| Does the paper achieve its declared purpose? | | |
| Does the paper show clarity of presentation? | X | |
| Do the figures and tables aid the clarity of the paper? | | |
| Are the English and syntax of the paper satisfactory? | X | |
| Is the paper concise? (If not, please indicate which parts might be cut?) | | |
| | | |

| Does the paper develop a logical argument or a theme? | X | |
|---|---|---|
| Do the conclusions sensibly follow from the work that is reported? | Х | |
| Are the references authoritative and representative? | | Х |
| Is the paper interesting or relevant for an international audience? | X | |
| Is there valuable connection to previously published research in this area? | | |
| Is the overall quality suitable for inclusion in this journal? | | |

Recommendations: Mark where appropriate.

| Publishable. Accept without correction or minor corrections | |
|---|---|
| Publishable, however accept subject to changes. | Х |
| Reject due to changes but encourage resubmitting. | |
| Reject due to unpublished material. | |

- 1. The paper so far looks interesting and valuable scientifically, however it needs to have revision as follows.
- 2. There are several sentences that need to be elaborated to make them clear and understandable.
- 3. There are some grammatical errors. Please check the paper carefully.
- 4. Add some more recent References.
- 5. Article plagiarism results must under limit (20%)
- 6. This paper is not accordance with the paper format , writing format does not comply with guidelines ,
- 7. Discussion of the relevant initial research is still lacking , It must be clear and specific about the previous research .
- 8. revision results are not in accordance with the recommendations of the reviewer