





BUKTI KORESPONDENSI

Nama : Dr. Elanda Fikri, S.KM., M.Kes
NIP : 198903112015031002
NIDN : 4011038901
Jabatan : Lektor Kepala
Unit Kerja : Poltekkes Kemenkes Bandung

<p>Jurnal internasional bereputasi (terindeks pada database internasional bereputasi dan berfaktor dampak)</p> <p>Penulis pertama : (60% x 40 = 24)</p>	<p>The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment</p>	<p>Journal of Ecological Engineering, Volume 24, Issue 6, 2023, Pages 207-213, Penulis pertama, ISSN: 22998993, Publisher: Polskie Towarzystwo Inzynierii Ekologic, SCOPUS Q2 (Elanda Fikri, Oryza Filial Zulkarnain, R. Azizah , Mohd Talib Latif , Yura Witsqa Firmansyah)</p> <p>Link DOI : https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/162781</p> <p>Link WEB : http://www.jeeng.net/The-Application-of-Membrane-Bioreactor-for-Greywater-Treatment,162781,0,2.html</p> <p>URL DOKUMEN : http://www.jeeng.net/pdf-162781-89236?filename=The%20Application%20of.pdf</p> <p>URL H-INDEKS/SJR: https://www.scimagojr.com/journalsearch.php?q=21100246533&tip=sid&clean=0</p> <p>URL SIMILARITY http://repo.poltekkesbandung.ac.id/7049/1/JEENG_04020_2023_01_333860%20the%20Application%20of%20membran%20bioreactor%20for%20greywater%20treatment.pdf</p>
---	---	--

HISTORY

		
Yura Witsqa Firmansyah AUTHOR   		
Received notifications		
Sent	Article	Subject
2023-05-17	JEENG-04020-2023-02 The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment	Publishing fee has been received
2023-05-12	JEENG-04020-2023-02 The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment	Publishing fee has not been received yet (JEENG-04020-2023-02)
2023-04-28	JEENG-04020-2023-02 The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment	Publishing fee has not been received yet (JEENG-04020-2023-02)
2023-03-29	JEENG-04020-2023-02 The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment	Publishing fee has been set (JEENG-04020-2023-02)
2023-03-29	JEENG-04020-2023-02 The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment	Decision on manuscript JEENG-04020-2023-02
2023-03-24	JEENG-04020-2023-02 The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment	New revision received by Editorial Office (JEENG-04020-2023-02)
2023-03-23	JEENG-04020-2023-01 The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment	Decision on manuscript JEENG-04020-2023-01
2023-03-09	JEENG-04020-2023-01 The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment	New manuscript received by Editorial Office (JEENG-04020-2023-01)

SUBMIT (9 Maret 2023)

----- Pesan yang diteruskan -----
Dari: **Journal of Ecological Engineering** <kontakt@editorialsystem.com>
Tanggal: Kam, 9 Mar 2023 pukul 19.48
Subjek: New manuscript received by Editorial Office (JEENG-04020-2023-01)
Ke: Yura Witsqa Firmansyah <yurawf14@gmail.com>

Dear Yura Witsqa Firmansyah,

Thank you for your manuscript: The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment.
The following number has been assigned to it: JEENG-04020-2023-01.

The manuscript will be checked by Editors and then sent to the Reviewers.
You will be informed by email about any further decisions on this article.

Thank you for submitting your work to our journal.

Kindest regards,
Prof. Gabriel Borowski
Editor-in-Chief
Journal of Ecological Engineering

Editorial System is available here: <https://www.editorialsystem.com/jeeng/>

COMMENT REVIEWER (23 Maret 2023)

Dari: **Journal of Ecological Engineering** <kontak@editorialsystem.com>

Tanggal: Kam, 23 Mar 2023 pukul 16:13

Subjek: Decision on manuscript JEENG-04020-2023-01

Ke: Yura Witsqa Firmansyah <yurawf14@gmail.com>

March 23, 2023

JEENG-04020-2023-01

The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment

Dear Yura Witsqa Firmansyah,

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript, entitled: The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment, might be accepted for publication in our journal, pending some minor changes suggested by reviewers (see below).

Please revise your paper strictly according to the attached Reviewers comments. Your manuscript won't be taken into consideration without the revisions made according to the recommendations.

Authors of our journal are requested to prepare a revised version of their manuscript as soon as possible. This may ensure fast publication if an article is finally accepted.

Thank you for submitting your work to us.

Kindest regards,

Prof. Gabriel Borowski

Editor-in-Chief

Journal of Ecological Engineering

Your manuscript has been analyzed by a web-based anti-plagiarism system (iThenticate).

Please note that this email may not include all details of your article's evaluation. The full decision and file attachments are available here:

<https://www.editorialsystem.com/jeeng/article/336147/view/#showDecisionLetter333860>

Editor has attached the file to this decision.

Attachment:

- <https://www.editorialsystem.com/dl/df/10043/602230634cf906dfc35f2562eb2b9036/> (Editor)

The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment

Elanda Fikri^{1,2}, Oryza Filial Zulkarnain³, R Azizah³, Mohd Talib Latif⁴, Yura Witsqa Firmansyah^{5*}

¹Bandung Health Polytechnic, Environmental Sanitation Department, Bandung, Indonesia, 40171

²Center of Excellence on Utilization of Local Material for Health Improvement, Bandung Health Polytechnic, Bandung 40171

³Department of Environmental Health, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya 60115, Indonesia

⁴Department of Earth Sciences and Environment, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

⁵Student of Doctoral Program of Environmental Sciences, Graduate School, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta City, 57126, Indonesia

*Corresponding author email: yurawf@student.uns.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Urban planning management should consider wastewater as a challenge. Wastewater, in this case, grey water, is full of dangerous contaminants and, at specific concentrations, can turn into a substance that affects the environment. The effluent of the released waste must therefore be managed to ensure that it complies with the government's quality standard criteria. The membrane bioreactor (MBR) technology process produced waste effluents with low concentrations of biological oxygen demand (BOD₅), chemical oxygen demand (COD), and total organic carbon (TOC), proving its higher efficiency as a biological processing stage. Total *coliforms* and *E. coli* are not present in processed products, detergents and total suspended solid (TSS) are effectively degraded. This is made possible by the considerably lower organic load; as a result, biomass accumulation slows and mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS), which have low value, are produced. With the assistance of continuous airflow, and without the use of chemicals or backwashing, an ultrafiltration module (UF) with a membrane cut-off size small enough to be able to create a constant permeate flux during the grey water treatment process is necessary. Although processed grey water does not pass denitrification, all parameters linked to the quality of the effluent water exceed environmental quality criteria.

Keywords: membrane bioreactor, wastewater, greywater, BOD, COD, total *coliform*.

INTRODUCTION

In this study, wastewater, namely grey water, is one of the environmental health factors that must be considered to prevent disease (Asan et al., 2020). Wastewater from sinks, drainage pipes, and kitchens and bathroom sinks is referred to as grey water (Lieberman et al., 2016). This grey water typically comprises fat and even feces in the form of food waste from the kitchen, whereas kitchen trash contains a lot of detergents (Bodzek et al., 2019). This grey water contains a significant number of hazardous pollutants, which, at a certain concentration, can transform into substances that are potentially harmful to the environment and even to human health. Therefore, the effluent from this grey water that is released must be managed to ensure that it complies with the government-set standards for quality.

There are numerous alternative greywater treatment technologies in use today that work to reduce the volume, parameter concentration, and toxicity of waste as risk factors for environmental pollution (Chang et al., 2002; Hernaningsih, 2018). However, to achieve an effective and efficient concentration reduction performance, it is necessary to determine how suitable technology is applied to grey water (Gürel and Büyükgüngör, 2015; Lieberman et al., 2016). Adding a recycling processing facility usually requires a sizable quantity of space, yet there is typically relatively limited land available in urban areas (Banaszak et al., 1998; Rodrigues et al., 2023). The use of the Membrane Bioreactor system is one of the technologies that might be developed for this purpose. The wastewater treatment technology known as MBR has been used extensively around the world (Mohd Azoddein et al., 2015). As the community's demands change, so does the development of its use (Aditia, 2020). The capacity for wastewater treatment can also be modified to meet current demands; for instance, it can be increased to 5,000 or 10,000 l/hour (Huang et al., 2020).

An activated sludge method that is also fitted with a Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) System was tested to overcome the shortcomings of the conventional system (i.e., the activated sludge method) (Gede Wenten et al., 2020; Osman, 2014). Except for the separation of activated sludge and effluent, which is accomplished using a filtration membrane as a substitute for the sedimentation phase, the MBR concept is technically identical to conventional biological waste treatment (Gede Wenten et al., 2020).

Commented [A1]: Artykuł nie wymaga poprawy

Commented [A2]: Please, add street names to the affiliation.

This system's utilization may process organic or inorganic substances with high concentrations and variable loads while also improving the effluent's quality (Gupta, 2018).

Due to the system's weaknesses in terms of cost and the requirement for reliable and skilled human resources during maintenance, it is still not widely used in Indonesia (Al-Khafaji et al., 2022; Gede Wenten et al., 2020; Nandari et al., 2018). There is one more benefit to this arrangement, though, and that is the requirement for less space (because it does not require a clarifier as a settling basin) (Fernando, 2019). This will consider the limited nature of land and water resources (Chang et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2020; Judd, 2005).

Additionally, this technique is significantly more sophisticated than conventional treatment methods, which are unable to handle wastewater with continuously variable water discharge. MBR can help improve the quality of domestic wastewater, such as grey water, which is typically treated using more conventional methods despite having lower quality (Banaszak et al., 1998; Liberman et al., 2016). The use of MBR is increasing even though the investment and operating expenses with this MBR performance are higher than those of conventional processing technology (Banaszak et al., 1998; Le-Clech et al., 2006). This is because the effluent from recycling grey water is of such high quality that it may be utilized to supplement increasingly scarce pure water sources. This study will discuss about how grey water treatment plants, which use the membrane bioreactor (MBR) system to clean the water and turn it into clean water, can be used. Also, to assess the effectiveness of the outputs of the wastewater treatment process utilizing the Membrane Bioreactor System (MBR) that comes from the Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

MBR definition and classification

This study uses a research and development method with an experimental approach. The research and development is carried out using membrane bioreactor (MBR) wastewater treatment technology. The experimental process, testing the MBR in gray water to remove wastewater parameters. The chemical parameters are (BOD₅, COD, TOC, NH₃, NO₃, NO₂, and detergents) and biological pollutants (total *coliform* and *Escherichia coli*) in greywater. MBR technology for treating wastewater has become an issue all over the world (Aditia, 2020; Liberman et al., 2016). This technology is the result of combining activated sludge with extra filtering membranes to separate biomass from waste in conventional processes (Ladewig and Al-Shaeli, 2017). Compared to the conventional activated sludge process, MBR can produce much higher quality effluent because it gets rid of all suspended solids, colloidal solids, and bacteria that have trapped to surfaces (Inc et al., 2013). Membrane bioreactors can be run with high MLSS concentrations so they can treat more wastewater at once (Liao et al., 2018).

MBR is a technology that uses pressure on the filtration membrane in place of gravity-based purification (used to remove active biomass from liquid mixtures) to naturally increase the efficiency of conventional wastewater treatment membrane processes (Bodzek et al., 2019; Chu et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2020). The fundamental ideas are still the same as in conventional systems and are based on the idea that pollutants can be reduced through biological reactions. In principle, MBR is a system for treating wastewater that uses a membrane that is either outside or submerged in a bioreactor (Chang et al., 2002). A combination of membranes is used inside the bioreactor for the biomass separation process. In this case, membranes also take the place of sedimentation ponds, which were used before to separate solids from liquids (Hernaningsih, 2018).

The method for separating water and solids heavily relies on the usage of membranes. In general, there are three types of membranes: an-isotropic membranes, membranes formed of ceramics, metals, and liquid film layers, as well as isotropic membranes (microporous membranes, non-porous or non-porous dense membranes, and electrically charged membranes). Microfiltration (MF), ultrafiltration (UF), nanofiltration (NF), reverse osmosis (RO), electrodialysis (ED), and electro de-ionization (EDI) are all common separation procedures; the first four processes produce permeate (the intended separation outcome) and retentate (residual result) (Judd, 2008). Because the separation is no longer subject to hydrodynamic conditions such as sludge retention time, hydraulic retention time, and sludge removal rate, the use of membranes produces a more flawless separation performance (Kim et al., 2008; Ladewig and Al-Shaeli, 2017). The process of filtering sludge in MBR technology uses a membrane, whereas, in the activated sludge process, the sludge separation is accomplished by utilizing gravity in the settling tank (Le-Clech et al., 2006).

MBR Configuration

The configuration of this MBR process varies depending on the quality of the wastewater to be treated and the location of the membrane against the reactor (Mackenzie L. Davis P.E., DEE, 2010). To carry out proper denitrification of the concentration of nitrate and nitrogen in the effluent, a procedure like the MBR can be provided within an anoxic zone that is established after aerobic processing. An anaerobic zone can also be used in this MBR process to improve the efficiency of lowering phosphorus levels (Huang et al., 2020; Le-Clech et al., 2006; Silva et al., 2017).

These are the various MBR process configuration two types. First type is internal membrane (submerged membrane), it can implement the membrane filter in the main bioreactor or a different tank. Membranes can have an online backwash system that uses a pump to reduce fouling on the membrane surface. It can be flat, tubular sheets, or a combination of both. To prevent fouling, additional aeration is also required to generate water pressure. Second types is external membrane (side stream membrane), the membrane is installed outside the bioreactor after the position of the bioreactor. Biomass is injected directly into the membrane module installed in series, and the recirculation flow returns to the bioreactor to manage the MLSS concentration (Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids). Reactors with pumps and pipes can also be used to perform the membrane removal procedure. The submerged membrane system is the most common since the energy used is less and more stable. According to this study, the submerged membrane is the type of membrane technology utilized to treat grey water.

Performance Mechanism for MBR

Aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation processes, the effectiveness of the wastewater treatment procedure in the biodegradation process is significantly influenced by microorganisms. In the waste plant, microorganisms break down organic substances to produce energy, which is then used for their growth. Membrane System, the membrane has a thickness of between 0.1 and 0.5 mm and is a thin, semi-permeable layer. The membrane functions as a filter and barrier for molecules that pass through it. After the flow has passed through the membrane system, very small particles can be trapped, making this device highly effective at preventing the passage of very small pollution particles. This ability is based on the size and energy of the flow. The membrane system's disadvantage is clogging. The longer it is worked, the more particles will build and potentially clog the flow path. Consequently, occasional backwashing is necessary. Figure 1 is a detail of the membrane bioreactor process,

Figure 1. Schematic layout of the submerged membrane bioreactor

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The installation and application system of MBR technology is carried out in the office area of the Ministry of Health, Surabaya. Utilizing Zenon MBR technology and a feed tank with a total volume of 1.5 m³ to function as a sedimentation tank and to balance the incoming flow. The grey water generated by office activities is pumped from the sewer based on the feed tank's level control. The submerged ultrafiltration (UF) module used by this MBR has a cut-off size of 50 nm or less. Samples from the influent and effluent streams were taken for 70 days (one week) as part of an approved environmental laboratory test to track the operational effectiveness of the bioreactor. Parameters chemistry and microbiology each examined a variety of parameters, including BOD₅, COD, TSS, TOC, nitrate-nitrite, detergent, and total *coliform*. The results of using a membrane bioreactor in detail are presented in table 1 below,

Table 1. Test results for chemical and biological parameters using a membrane bioreactor

The results of table 1 explain that the maximum efficiency rate of using a membrane bioreactor (100%) for the parameters of total *coliform* and *Escherichia coli*. The next parameter that has the highest efficiency rate for TSS reduction is 97%. On the other hand, the use of a membrane bioreactor cannot reduce the concentration of NO₃ and NO₄ parameters. To find out the MBR performance, an analysis of gray water is carried out every week to get trends from performance effectiveness to find out the magnitude of the decrease in the pollutant parameters. The results of the study can be seen in Figures 2, 3 and 4 below,

Figure 1. Basic parameter analysis results for greywater

Figure 2. Reduction of detergent levels in greywater

Figure 3. Measurement results for ammonia parameters and nitrification process in greywater

Almost all water quality indicators from all examined samples that were treated with MBR technology exceeded the standards, according to the analysis's results, including the concentrations of the

Commented [A3]: Should it be figure 2?

Commented [A4]: Figure 3?

Commented [A5]: Figure 4? Please, make the order of figure's titles and figures mentioned in the text.

microbiological parameters Total *coliform* and *Escherichia coli*. According to the examination of the treated water's quality, the concentration exceeds the government's guidelines for environmental quality. The results of applying MBR technology to reduce the concentration levels of processed office grey water averaged up to a reduction of 90%. Compared to industrial wastewater, grey water has a relatively high concentration of organic matter, severe physicochemical parameters (pH, temperature, and salinity), and natural compounds with risk factors and the potential to obstruct biological treatment processes (Bani-Melhem and Smith, 2012; Kadim and Abd, 2022; Luján-Facundo et al., 2019).

Both nitrification and denitrification are ongoing processes. The nitrification process that converts NH_3 into NO_3^- , is carried out under aerobic conditions, while denitrification which reduces nitrates to atmospheric nitrogen occurs only in anaerobic conditions (Afifah et al., 2021). Because the MBR technology system's primary purpose is to clean the UF membrane and prevent fouling, it is not possible to reduce the level of aeration. This is designed to prevent backwashing or chemical cleaning of the membrane (Ding et al., 2016; Fountoulakis et al., 2016; Hocaoglu et al., 2013). However, the levels of nitrate and nitrite in grey water treated using MBR still meet environmental quality criteria. Meanwhile, the efficiency rate for the principal pollutant elimination from wastewater is 90%. This MBR technology has proven to be effective as a grey water recycling module that may be used as a backup for clean water needs in the future.

CONCLUSIONS

The use of membrane bioreactor (MBR) technology for greywater treatment is an effective alternative technology. Bioreactor membrane technology is proven to reduce wastewater pollutants BOD₅ (93%), COD (94%), TOC (87%), NH₃ (92%), detergents (94%), total *coliform* and *Escherichia coli* (100%) so that it can be applied as a domestic wastewater treatment technology. However, membrane bioreactor technology cannot be used to remove NO₃ and NO₄ pollutants. Future studies can develop anaerobic tanks in membrane bioreactor technology or other alternative technologies in removing NO₃ and NO₄ with the use of algae and a combination of effective microorganisms.

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by The Bandung Health Polytechnic, Ministry of Health Indonesia; Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia; Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia; Universitas Sebelas Maret, Indonesia.

REFERENCES

1. Aditia, A., 2020. Pengolahan Air Limbah Menggunakan Bioreaktor Membran (BRM) (in Indonesia). *J. Ilm. Maksitek* 5 (4), 5–24.
2. Afifah, A.S., Prajati, G., Adicita, Y., Darwin, D., Firmansyah, Y.W., 2021. Pemanfaatan Mikroalga dalam Penurunan Kadar Amonia dengan Variasi Penambahan Effective Microorganism (in Indonesia). *J. Serambi Eng.* 6 (2), 1762-1767.
3. Al-Khafaji, S.S., Al-Rekabi, W.S., mawat, M.J., 2022. Apply Membrane Biological Reactor (MBR) in Industrial wastewater treatment: A Mini Review. *Eurasian J. Eng. Technol.* 7, 98–106.
4. Asan, C., Gürel, L., Büyükgüngör, H., 2020. Batik Ultrafiltrasyon Membran Biyoreaktörü İle Gerçek Gri Su Aritimi. *Konya J. Eng. Sci.* 8 (1), 103–112.
5. Banaszak, J.E., Rittmann, B.E., Evans, J., 1998. Biotechnology for waste and wastewater treatment. *Environ. Prog.* 17 (1), S9–S10.
6. Bani-Melhem, K., Smith, E., 2012. Grey water treatment by a continuous process of an electrocoagulation unit and a submerged membrane bioreactor system. *Chem. Eng. J.* 198–199, 201–210.
7. Bodzek, M., Konieczny, K., Rajca, M., 2019. Membranes in water and wastewater disinfection – review. *Arch. Environ. Prot.* 45 (1), 3–18.
8. Chang, I.-S., Le Clech, P., Jefferson, B., Judd, S., 2002. Membrane Fouling in Membrane Bioreactors for Wastewater Treatment. *J. Environ. Eng.* 128 (11), 1018–1029.
9. Chu, H., Zhang, Y., Zhou, X., Zhao, Y., Dong, B., Zhang, H., 2014. Dynamic membrane bioreactor for wastewater treatment: Operation, critical flux, and dynamic membrane structure. *J. Memb. Sci.* 450, 265–271.
10. Ding, A., Liang, H., Li, G., Derlon, N., Szivak, I., Morgenroth, E., Pronk, W., 2016. Impact of aeration shear stress on permeate flux and fouling layer properties in a low pressure membrane bioreactor for the treatment of grey water. *J. Memb. Sci.* 510, 382–390.

11. Fernando, R.L.S., 2019. Solid waste management of local governments in the Western Province of Sri Lanka: An implementation analysis. *Waste Manag.* 84, 194–203.
12. Fountoulakis, M.S., Markakis, N., Petousi, I., Manios, T., 2016. Single house on-site grey water treatment using a submerged membrane bioreactor for toilet flushing. *Sci. Total Environ.* 551–552, 706–711.
13. Gede Wenten, I., Friatnasary, D.L., Khoiruddin, K., Setiadi, T., Boopathy, R., 2020. Extractive membrane bioreactor (EMBR): Recent advances and applications. *Bioresour. Technol.* 297, 122424.
14. Gupta, N., 2018. White Matter Hyperintensity-Associated Blood-Brain Barrier Disruption and Vascular Risk Factors. *J. Stroke Cerebrovasc. Dis.* 27 (2), 466–471.
15. Gürel, L., Büyükgüngör, H., 2015. The Role of Mass Transfer in Membrane Systems. *Pamukkale Univ. J. Eng. Sci.* 21 (6), 224–238.
16. Hernaningsih, T., 2018. Aplikasi Membrane Bioreactor (MBR) Untuk Proses Daur Ulang Air Limbah (in Indonesia). *J. Air Indones.* 7 (2), 109–118.
17. Hocaoglu, S.M., Atasoy, E., Baban, A., Orhon, D., 2013. Modeling biodegradation characteristics of grey water in membrane bioreactor. *J. Memb. Sci.* 429, 139–146.
18. Huang, S., Pooi, C.K., Shi, X., Varjani, S., Ng, H.Y., 2020. Performance and process simulation of membrane bioreactor (MBR) treating petrochemical wastewater. *Sci. Total Environ.* 747, 141311.
19. Inc, M.& E., Tchobanoglous, G., Burton, F.L., Tsuchihashi, R., Stensel, H.D., 2013. *Wastewater Engomeeromg: Treatment and Resource Recovery.* Wastewater Eng. 2048.
20. Judd, S., 2005. Fouling control in submerged membrane bioreactors. *Water Sci. Technol.* 51 (6-7), 27–34.
21. Judd, S., 2008. The status of membrane bioreactor technology. *Trends Biotechnol.* 26 (2), 109–116.
22. Kadim, H.A., Abd, A.N., 2022. Removing Pollutants From Wastewater Using An Advanced Method. *Rev. Gestão Soc. e Ambient.* 16 (3), 1–11.
23. Kim, J.-Y., Chang, I.-S., Shin, D.-H., Park, H.-H., 2008. Membrane fouling control through the change of the depth of a membrane module in a submerged membrane bioreactor for advanced wastewater treatment. *Desalination* 231 (1-3), 35–43.
24. Ladewig, B., Al-Shaeli, M.N.Z., 2017. Fouling in Membrane Bioreactors. pp. 39–85.
25. Le-Clech, P., Chen, V., Fane, T.A.G., 2006. Fouling in membrane bioreactors used in wastewater treatment. *J. Memb. Sci.* 284 (1-2), 17–53.
26. Liao, Y., Bokhary, A., Maleki, E., Liao, B., 2018. A review of membrane fouling and its control in algal-related membrane processes. *Bioresour. Technol.* 264, 343–358.
27. Liberman, N., Shandalov, S., Forgacs, C., Oron, G., Brenner, A., 2016. Use of MBR to sustain active biomass for treatment of low organic load grey water. *Clean Technol. Environ. Policy* 18 (4), 1219–1224.
28. Luján-Facundo, M.J., Mendoza-Roca, J.A., Soler-Cabezas, J.L., Bes-Piá, A., Vincent-Vela, M.C., Pastor-Alcañiz, L., 2019. Use of the osmotic membrane bioreactor for the management of tannery wastewater using absorption liquid waste as draw solution. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* 131, 292–299.
29. Mackenzie L. Davis P.E., DEE, P.D., 2010. *Water and Wastewater Engineering: Design Principles and Practice*, First edition. ed. McGraw-Hill Education, New York.
30. Mohd Azoddein, A.A., Haris, H., Mohd Azli, F.A., 2015. Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Using Membrane Bioreactor. *Malaysian J. Anal. Sci.* 19 (3), 463–471.
31. Nandari, W.W., Utami, A., Yogafanny, E., Kristiati, M.T., 2018. Pengolahan Air Terproduksi Dengan Membran Bioreaktor Di Wilayah Penambangan Wonocolo (in Indonesia). *Eksergi* 15 (3), 34.
32. Osman, M., 2014. Waste Water Treatment in Chemical Industries: The Concept and Current Technologies. *J. Waste Water Treat. Anal.* 05 (1).
33. Rodrigues, V., Wander, A., Rosa, F., 2023. Diagnosis of the Sustainability of a Poultry Value Chain from the Perspective of Environmental Management Accounting. *Rev. Gest. Soc. e Ambient.* 17 (1), 1–20.
34. Silva, L.L.S., Moreira, C.G., Curzio, B.A., da Fonseca, F. V., 2017. Micropollutant Removal from Water by Membrane and Advanced Oxidation Processes—A Review. *J. Water Resour. Prot.* 09

(5), 411–431.

Commented [A6]: Please, remove comma before the year in every reference. Remove space between the volume and issue in every reference. Put comma after the name of the journal.

Reference should look like:
Aditia, A. 2020. Pengolahan Air Limbah Menggunakan Bioreaktor Membran (BRM) (in Indonesia). J. Ilm. Maksitek, 5(4), 5–24.

HASIL (29 maret 2023)

----- Pesan yang diteruskan -----
Dari: **Journal of Ecological Engineering** <kontakt@editorialsystem.com>
Tanggal: Rab, 29 Mar 2023 pukul 14.31
Subjek: Decision on manuscript JEENG-04020-2023-02
Ke: Yura Witsqa Firmansyah <yurawf14@gmail.com>

March 29, 2023
JEENG-04020-2023-02
The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment

Dear Yura Witsqa Firmansyah,

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript, entitled: The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment, has been accepted for publication in our journal.

Thank you for submitting your work to us.

Kindest regards,
Prof. Gabriel Borowski
Editor-in-Chief
Journal of Ecological Engineering

PUBLISHING FEE HAS BEEN SET (29 Maret 2023)

Publishing fee has been set (JEENG-04020-2023-02) ✕

Dear Yura Witsqa Firmansyah,

Publishing fee for the manuscript: The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment (JEENG-04020-2023-02) has been set to: 300.00 EUR

Please log in to your account:
<https://www.editorialsystem.com/jeeng/article/336147/view/payment/>
to proceed with the payment.

Editorial Office of Journal of Ecological Engineering

MENDAPAT INFO PUBLISHING FEE NOT BEEN RECEIVED (28 April 2023)

Publishing fee has not been received yet (JEENG-04020-2023-02) ✕

Dear Yura Witsqa Firmansyah,

We would like to inform you that the publishing fee for the manuscript: The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment (JEENG-04020-2023-02) has not been received yet.

Your payment was defined 30 days ago.

Please log in to your account:
<https://www.editorialsystem.com/jeeng/article/336147/view/payment/>
to proceed with the payment.

Editorial Office of Journal of Ecological Engineering

PUBLISHING FEE HAS BEEN RECEIVED (17 Mei 2023)

Publishing fee has been received ✕

Dear Yura Witsqa Firmansyah,

We would like to inform you that the publishing fee for the manuscript: The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment (JEENG-04020-2023-02) has been received.

Thank you for your payment!

Editorial Office of Journal of Ecological Engineering

PUBLISH

Not secure | www.jeeng.net/The-Application-of-Membrane-Bioreactor-for-Greywater-Treatment,16... | Search | Home | About | Contact

Home About the Journal Editorial Board Editorial Office and Publisher Article Processing Charge Open Access

JEE Journal of Ecological Engineering

ISSN 2299-8993

Volume 24, Issue 6, 2023 [Get citation](#) [CC-BY 4.0](#) [Stats](#)

The Application of Membrane Bioreactor for Greywater Treatment

Elanda Fikri^{1,2} , Oryza Filial Zulkarnain³, R. Azizah³ , Mohd Talib Latif⁴ , Yura Witsqa Firmansyah⁵ 

[More details](#)

J. Ecol. Eng. 2023; 24(6):207-213
> DOI: <https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993/162781>

[Article \(PDF\)](#)

KEYWORDS

[membrane bioreactor](#) • [wastewater](#) • [greywater](#) • [BOD](#) • [COD](#) • [total coliform](#)

TOPICS

[Water and wastewater treatment](#)

ABSTRACT

Urban planning management should consider wastewater as a challenge. Wastewater, in this case, grey water, is full of dangerous contaminants and, at specific concentrations, can turn into a substance that affects the environment. The effluent of the released waste must therefore be managed to ensure that it complies with the government's quality standard criteria.

Submit your paper

[Instructions for Authors](#)

[All Issues](#)

[Articles in press](#)

Share

[f](#) [t](#) [w](#) [in](#) [v](#)

[Send by email](#)

RELATED ARTICLE

[Heavy Metals – Definition, Natural and Anthropogenic Sources of Releasing into Ecosystems, Toxicity, and Removal Methods – An Overview Study](#)

[Application of QUAL2K Model for Simulating Water Quality in Hilla River, Iraq](#)

[Removal of Ni\(II\) Ions by Citric Acid-Functionalised Aloe vera Leaf Powder – Characterisation, Kinetics, and Isotherm Studies](#)

[Preliminary Investigation of Bioaccumulation](#)